## **Program SAO Summary Evaluation Form**

Division/Program: Counseling Semester Evaluated: Spring 2014 Next Evaluation: Fall 2014		Lead Evaluators: Ailsa Aguilar-Kitibutr, Psy.D.; Jamie Herrera; Debbie Orozco  Participants: Gina Curasi; Frank Dunn; Laura Gomez; Patricia Jones; Jeanne Marquis; Felipe Salazar; Andre Wooten; Maribel Cisneros; Ramiro Hernandez; Richard Long; Gilbert Maez; Maria Maness; Desiree Martin; Deana Silagy; Joyce Smith; Carlos Solorio; Veronica Valdez-Flynn
Service Area Outcome Statement	components of services whe	of strengths of the counseling services availed as well as ere their needs are not meet. Students will rate their satisfaction
Strategic Initiatives aligned with	level on the services receive	d. ess □ Facilities ⊠ Communication, Culture, & Climate
the SAO.	☐ Leadership & Professional Development ☐ Effective Evaluation and Accountability	
SAO Assessment Tool	Counseling Services Satisfaction Questionnaire	
Criteria – What is "good enough"? Rubric	At least 75% of student surveyed would have rated 4 "Mostly Satisfied" to 5 "Highly Satisfied" on the variables measured.	
What are the results of the assessment? Are the results satisfactory?	The questionnaire will be administered in fall 2014. The instrument is in its final stages of content analysis and will be administered to a pilot group in summer for reliability and validity testing.	
Were trends evident in the outcomes? Are there gaps?	It is expected that the study will identify further the strengths in the services provided and areas of improvement. The identified gaps will be used for innovations in counseling approaches to foster student success and credible image of the Department.	
What content, structure, strategies might improve outcomes?	It is projected that the following clarity in services being offered, maximum use of counseling sessions including follow-up services, excellent counseling relationship, relevant and meaningful assistance to students will promote outcomes improvement.	
Will you change evaluation and/or assessment method and or criteria?	Since this is a new area being measured no major change in the method of evaluation except some refinements in the questionnaire and use of statistical treatment.	
Evidence of Dialogue	Check any that apply	
(Attach representative samples of evidence)	☐ E-mail Discussion with ☐ FT Faculty ☐ Adjunct Faculty ☐ Staff Date(s):	
	X☐ Department Meeting. Date(s): March 7; April 4; May 5, 13, 20☐ Division Meetings. Date(s):	
	☐ Campus Committees. Date(s): (ex: Program Review; Curriculum; Academic Senate; Accreditation & SLOs)	
	SLO Dialogue focused on: themes and components to be measured to provide specificity and global measure, questionnaire items, and Likert rating scale	
Will you rewrite the SAOs	No; however, the questionn statistical analysis will be ap	aire may be modified and possibly the use of multivariate plied.

Response to program outcome	x□ Professional Development x□ Intra-departmental changes	
evaluation and assessment? How	☐ Curriculum action x☐ Requests for resources and/or services	
were/are results used for program	⊠ Program Planning /Student Success	
improvement.		
	Continued training on counseling processes and information updates including the use of	
	SBVC technology will be conducted. Changes in the delivery system may be effected.	
	Additional supplementary materials to enhance counseling services as well as improvement of	
	the Counseling Department facility may be necessitated. The results will be used as indicator	
	in the achievement of one of the annual goals of the department. The results will be used as a	
	guide in the succeeding academic year's departmental goals.	