Program SAO Summary Evaluation Form

Division: Student Services Semester Assessed: Spring 2020 Lead Evaluator: Ray Carlos

Maghuyop

Program: Student Life
Next Assessment: Spring 2021
Participants: Maritza Portillo, Marie

Service Area Outcome Statement	Increase student participation in clubs and organizations.
Strategic Initiatives aligned	☐ Access ☐ Student Success ☐ Facilities ☐ Communication,
with the SAO.	Culture, & Climate
	☐ Leadership & Professional Development ☐ Effective Evaluation and
	Accountability
SAO Assessment Tool	Program Review process in fall 2018 and spring 2019. Additionally, Presence, the student engagement software, was used to assess engagement.
Criteria – What is "good	Per our Program Review outcomes, Student Life has not historically
enough"?	captured club participation at the level needed for valid assessment. Therefore, Student Life invested in the student engagement software
Rubric	Presence, which captures club rosters. This year, the outcome is to
14(1) 11 11 511	create a baseline for future comparisons and evaluations.
What are the results of the	Student Life differentiated student involvement in three ways. 1. Through
assessment? Are the results	club participation, 2. Through program participation, and 3. Through student representation. Club participation in the 2019-2020 academic
satisfactory?	year fluctuated and ended with 23 active clubs with 263 students
	identified on club rosters. This represents a 1.8-2.2 percent involvement
	rate. Students involved in programs on campus (EOPS, Promise, etc.)
	totaled 1,642 which represents a 11.7-13.8 percent involvement rate.
	Finally, 44 students were involved in the Interclub Council and the Associated Student Government. Interclub Council representatives
	totaled 23 students (matches number of clubs), while 21 students served
	on the Associated Student Government which represents a 78% fill rate.
	The results are satisfactory in creating the baseline information that we
	need to measure results.
Were trends evident in the	Past trends were not specifically evident in the outcomes due to the baseline information currently in place. The Associated Student
outcomes?	Government increased their leadership opportunities by doubling their
Are there gaps?	positions through changes in their Constitution. Future assessments will
	show trends and further data analysis will look at gaps related to student
	demographics, specifically ethnicity and age.
What content, structure,	Moving forward, we will need to increase participation from other
strategies might improve	departments who oversee specific programs by conveying the benefits of
outcomes?	using Presence. Additionally, we will work with faculty advisors to make roster updates mandatory for clubs.
Will you change	Yes, future evaluation will be completed against data above to show
evaluation/assessment	trends. Data will be disaggregated to ethnicity and age. Additionally, a
method or criteria?	comprehensive leadership program will measure outcomes.

Evidence of Dialogue	Check any that apply
(Attach representative samples of evidence)	\square E-mail Discussion with \square FT Faculty \square Adjunct Faculty \square Staff Date(s):
	□ Department Meeting. Date(s): April 3, 2020 □ Division Meetings. Date(s): February 27, 2020
	☐ Campus Committees. Date(s):
	(ex: Program Review; Curriculum; Academic Senate; Accreditation & SLOs)
	SLO Dialogue focused on:
	Click here to enter text.
Will you rewrite the SAOs?	Yes, SAO's will also include leadership development and other competencies as we build out the student experience.
Response to program	☐ Professional Development ☐ Intra-departmental changes
outcome evaluation and	☐ Curriculum action ☐ Requests for resources and/or services
assessment? How were/are results used	□ Program Planning /Student Success
for program improvement?	
	Information used to assist in working with departments and faculty across campus to ensure events are posted on Presence.