

The Academic Senate at SBVC is dedicated to empowering and strengthening the voices of our esteemed faculty members. We are committed to fostering active participation, while maintaining a positive and respectful atmosphere that ensures our perspectives resonate strongly throughout our institution. We are the official voice in accordance with, Title 5, section 53200, of the California Education Code §70902 (b)(7) "...the governing board of each community college district shall... ensure the right of academic senates to assume primary responsibility for making recommendations in the areas of curriculum and academic standards," which is known as 10+1.

California Title V §53200 "10+1"	Senator Rep	presentation	Senate Exec (non-senators)
1. Curriculum, including establishing	Applied Technology, Transp	portation, and Culinary Arts	🗹 Andrea Hecht
prerequisites.	🗌 Vacant (2026)	Vacant (2027)	Davena Burns-Peters
2. Degree and certificate	Arts and	Humanity	🗹 Thomas Berry
requirements.	🗹 Amy Mills (2027)	🗹 Carol Damgen (2026)	🗹 Celia Huston
3. Grading policies.	Joe Notarangelo (2027)	🗹 Judy Joshua (2027)	🔲 Rania Hamdy
4. Educational program development.	🗹 Kevin Lyons (2027)	🗹 Rangel Zarate (2027)	
5. Standards or policies regarding	Academi	c Success	Guests
student preparation and success.	🗌 🗌 Vacant (2026)		Debbie Orozco
6. College governance structures, as		& Computer Tech	Ernest Guillen
related to faculty roles.			Susan Mattson
7. Faculty roles and involvement in	Samuel Addington (2025)	Vacant (2026)	Daniel Mayo
accreditation process.	Vacant (2027)	Vacant (2027)	Leticia Hector
8. Policies for faculty professional		ence	🗌 Kirk Rogers
development activities.	Carol Jones (2025)	Jessy Lemieux (2025)	Denise Valle
9. Processes for program review.	Matt Robles (2026)	Melissa Romero (2026)	🔲 Maria Valdez
10. Processes for institutional planning	Michael Torrez (2027)	🗹 Tatiana Vasquez (2025)	Keenan Giles
and budget development.	🗌 Vacant (2027)		Samuel Valle
11. (+1) Other academic and	Social Sciences, Human D	evelopment & Physical Ed	Vanessa Thomas
professional matters as mutually	🗹 Danielle Graham (2025)	🗹 Denise Knight (2027)	Patty Quach
agreed upon.	🗹 Kellie Barnett (2025)	🔲 Lisa Henkle (2027)	Dina Humble
	🗹 Melissa King (2027)		Gilbert Contreras
	Student	Services	
	🗹 Erica Begg (2026)	🗹 Jamie Salyer (2025)	
	🗌 Vacant (2025)	🗌 Vacant (2026)	
	🗌 Vacant (2027)	Vacant (2027)	



Agenda Item	Discussion	Action
<ol> <li>Call to Order</li> <li>1.1. Call to Order/Establish Quorum</li> <li>1.1.1. AS President Andrea Hecht</li> <li>1.1.2. AS Secretary Jamie Salyer</li> <li>1.2. Approval of Agenda</li> <li>1.2.1. AS President Andrea Hecht</li> </ol>	Discussion on the approval of the agenda, with some amendments needed due to changes in presenters. Rania Hamdy and Celia Houston will not be presenting; Dr. Humble will continue with the midterm report.	Meeting called to order at 3:05 pm
2. Public Comments	Denise Knight: Raised concerns about the Booksaver program, particularly its all-or-nothing approach, which may lead to unnecessary costs for students using OER materials. Susan Matson: Provided an update on the Starfish program, highlighting increased participation and positive impacts on student retention and grades. Keenan Giles: Gave an update on Successful Enrollment Labs and Specialized Info Sessions which are available on the main school website. These resources aim to assist students with enrollment and connect them with specialized programs.	
<ol> <li>Administrative Reports</li> <li>3.1. College President - Dr. Gil Contreras</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>President's Report by Dr. Contreras:         <ul> <li>Enrollment and Student Connection</li> <li>Enrollment is up, and efforts are ongoing to connect students with support programs.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Booksaver Program         <ul> <li>Acknowledgment of challenges with the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	



	<ul> <li>Booksaver program; steps are being taken to improve the experience for the spring semester.</li> <li>Proposal: Dr. Rosas will lead a team to address concerns and improve the program.</li> <li>Parking Issues <ul> <li>Parking challenges are being addressed with short-term and long-term solutions under consideration.</li> <li>Proposal: An analysis of parking options will be presented to the college council.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Collaboration and Communication <ul> <li>Emphasis on collaboration with faculty and improved communication, including upcoming meetings and events like the state of the college and office hours.</li> <li>Proposal: Ongoing meetings with Senate leadership to tackle key issues such as dual enrollment and facilities planning.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>Approval of Minutes</li> <li>4.1. May 15, 2024</li> </ol>	Jessy Lemieux: Addressed errors in that needed to be made to peoples names.	Motion to approve the minutes with corrections to the spelling of names. 1st: Jessy Lemieux 2nd: Denise Knight Aye: 17 Nay: 0 Abstensions: 2 (Amy Mills, Judy Joshua) Missing from Vote: 2 (Erica Begg, Rangel Zarate) <b>Motion Passes</b>



5	SCCC Leadership Conference. ncy, discussions, inclusion, quity, and anti-racism. over words. dge and celebrate the hard mbers ad Tatiana Vasquez for the NSF logy department at College of supports internships for h native biodiversity. This Ashley Durkin and Charney r research. ity Colleges Vision 2023: A priorities. icipatory governance. hoc committee for re review. Jbcommittees: Faculty should oose a subcommittee to join. ssignments will be made.
5.4. Campus Committees reporting to Academic Senate - <i>no report</i> AS Vice President, Tatiana Vasquez	



<ul> <li>5.5. Academic Senate Subcommittees - no report</li> <li>5.6. Other committee reports as needed - no report</li> <li>5.7. SBCCDTA - President, Jeff Demsky</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Explanation of faculty participation channels.</li> <li>Overview of Senate subcommittees and college standing committees.</li> <li>Importance of shared governance and faculty representation.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>SBCCDTA Report, Jeff Demsky, Jessy Lemieux, and Jamie Salyer</li> <li>High-intensity lab designation process.         <ul> <li>Purpose: Evaluate applications for high-intensity lab designation.</li> <li>Committee Composition: Two members from each academic senate and four from management.Upcoming negotiations on health, wages, and workload.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Podcast series launch.</li> </ul>	
6. Informational Items	6.1. Accreditation Mid Term Report - Dr. Humble 1st read	The motion:
6.1. Accreditation Mid Term Report -	Dr. Dina Humble:	To postpone the first read of
Dr. Humble, Dr. Oxendine, Celia	• Spoke about our <u>mid-cycle accreditation report</u>	the draft report to allow it to
Huston, 1st read	for the fourth year of our seven-year cycle. This	be reviewed by the
6.2. Campus Committee Organization	report, compiled by Dr. Houston and the	Accreditation and Outcomes
6.2.1. Combining Committees - Dr. Yancie Carter & <i>Keenan</i>	Accreditation and Outcomes Committee,	Committee first. The
Giles	summarizes our progress since the last accreditation visit and how we are addressing	Academic Senate would then conduct the first read on the
6.2.2. Academic Senate - Andrea	recommendations.	September 4th meeting and
Hecht	<ul> <li>This is a draft for initial review. Please check for</li> </ul>	proceed with a second read
6.3. Committee Assignments (Academic	accuracy and provide any feedback. We'll review	and vote of support on
Senate) - Andrea Hecht	it again on September 4, and it will go to the	September 11th after
6.4. Senators, Division Representation -	Board of Trustees before submission to ACCJC on	incorporating feedback from
Andrea Hecht	the 15th.	the committee.



<ul> <li>There were concerns raised about the process and timing. Some members felt they hadn't had sufficient time to review the report and that it should have been reviewed by the committee before this first read. The report's draft was distributed later than planned due to transitions in leadership and delays in the committee's schedule. The Accreditation and Outcomes Committee will meet next week to discuss this further and incorporate feedback.</li> <li>6.2. Campus Committee Organization         <ul> <li>Keenan Giles</li> <li>Guided Pathways Background:</li> <li>Origin: Term introduced around 2013-2014.</li> <li>Preceding Models: Triple SP (Student Success and Student Support Act of 2012) and Basic Skills.</li> <li>2018 Changes: Chancellor's office combined these models into Student Equity and Achievement Programs (SEAP), also known as equity funds.</li> <li>Current Changes:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2nd: Celia Yay: 16 Nay: 0 Abstain: 5 (Erica Begg, Carol Jones, Jesse Lemieux, Matt Robles, Michael Torres) <b>Motion Passes</b> Motion: To hold a 15-20 minute special meeting on September 11th at 3:00, room to be determined, for a 2nd read and have it in on time for the board. 1st: Tatiana Vasquez 2nd: Thomas Berry Yay: 18 Nay: 0 Abstain: 3 (Jessy Lemieux, Melissa King, Danielle Graham) <b>Motion Passes</b>
<ul> <li>Current Changes:</li> <li>Merging Committees: Basic Skills</li> </ul>	Graham) Motion Passes
<ul> <li>Committee, Student Equity (a subcommittee of Enrollment Management), and Guided Pathways are being merged.</li> <li>New Title: The merged committee is likely to be named Equity and Achievement Programs.</li> </ul>	



<ul> <li>Reason for Changes:         <ul> <li>Necessity: Aligning with updated funding sources and compliance requirements.</li> <li>Current Status: Updating and combining outdated practices to meet modern standards.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Resource Distribution:         <ul> <li>Email Information: An email from the assistant vice chancellor regarding priorities for the 2024-2025 school year will be distributed.</li> <li>Request for Distribution: The speaker will send the email to a contact person who will then share it with everyone.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Next Steps:         <ul> <li>Informational Purpose: No immediate action required, but the changes align with the ongoing remodel of shared governance.</li> <li>Further Reading: The email contains links to budget details and program allocations for those interested.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>6.1. Discussion came back about the midterm report:</li> <li>Davena Burns Peters: <ul> <li>Requested to revisit item 6.1 to add a clarifying comment and make a recommendation. She expressed concern that the current timeline only allows the Academic Senate to conduct the first read of the midterm report before the Accreditation Committee reviews it. Proposed</li> </ul></li></ul>	



postponing the first read until the Senate's next
meeting to allow time for the Accreditation
Committee to review and provide feedback on
the draft first.
Celia Huston:
<ul> <li>The draft report currently under review did not</li> </ul>
originate from the Accreditation and Outcomes
Committee; it was prepared by the Executive
Committee based on the committee's work. The
concern is that the Accreditation and Outcomes
Committee has not yet seen this draft, and it
should have been reviewed by them before being
presented to the Academic Senate. Emphasis was
on the importance of involving the committee in
the review process and respect for their work,
which is crucial for a successful accreditation and
maintaining collegiality.
<ul> <li>Judy Joshua:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Asked if Accreditation had their own version that</li> </ul>
we can compare to see how different they are.
• Celia Huston:
<ul> <li>To ensure the accreditation committee's input is</li> </ul>
considered, it's important that the committee
reviews and approves drafts before they are
presented to the board of trustees. The draft in
question has gone through revisions based on
feedback and was supposed to be reviewed by
committee readers over the summer. However, it
was held up and has only recently been shared. The
draft has not yet been officially recommended by
the committee as a first read, and some procedural



	<ul> <li>steps were missed, including the review by committee readers. It's crucial to have the committee's voice and vote recorded before the draft proceeds to the board, as past experiences have shown the importance of this process.</li> <li>6.3. Committee Assignments: <ul> <li>An email will be sent about the fall 2024 committee assignments.</li> <li>Best practices</li> <li>FAQ</li> </ul> </li> <li>6.4. Senators, Division Representation <ul> <li>An email was sent about open at-large positions for non-instructional, instructional, and adjunct roles.</li> <li>Informed faculty of <u>open positions</u> and encouraged them to participate.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>Action Items</li> <li>7.1. Academic Senate Meeting Dates and Times</li> </ol>	Meeting dates and room numbers for the fall 2024 semester were presented.	Davena moves by acclimation
<ul> <li>8. Discussion Items/New Business</li> <li>8.1. Senator Dialogue</li> <li>8.1.1. Senate Norms</li> <li>8.1.2. Guidelines for Dialogue</li> <li>8.1.3. Campus Insight on existing</li> <li>10+1 matters</li> </ul>	The discussion items and new business need to be tabled due to time constraints. There's a focus on updating meeting norms, which date back to 2019.	Motion to be table items 5.3, 6.1, and 8.0 due to time constraints. 1st: Tatiana Vasquez 2nd: Thomas Berry Aye: 20 Nay: 1 (Carol Jones) Abstensions: 0 <b>Motion Passes</b>
9. Unfinished Business & General Orders 9.1. None	None	



10. Announcements	Jamie Salyer- Graduation Check Festival: September 10, encourage student participation.	
	Susan Matson- Faculty Association Mixer: Thursday, August 22 at Escape Brewery .	
	Tatiana Vasquez- ASCCC Webinar: Upcoming session on artificial intelligence and academic integrity.	
<ol> <li>Adjournment</li> <li>11.1. Next meeting date - September 4, 2024, room NH-215</li> </ol>		Meeting adjourned at 4:35pm.